preliminary pyrolysis experiments.

- (8) The compound was sublimed with gentle heat into a quartz tube packed with quartz beads, and pyrolysis products were collected on a cold finger (-78 °C) ~1 cm from the hot zone; chloroform was then distilled from another vessel to wash the product from the cold finger into a collection tube.
- (9) Chromatography on silica gel or basic alumina destroyed the product. It could be purified on Florisil (hexane eluant), but some decomposition occurred if contact with Florisil was prolonged.
- At 60 MHz, only a sharp singlet at δ 3.25 is seen
- (11) The limited solubility and the long relaxation time of the ring carbons caused the lowest field peak to be weak and difficult to observe.
- (12) For example, the methylene protons of naphtho[a]cyclobutene and The mapfithe for the intervience proof is of mapfithe project control of a set of Chem. Soc., 93, 737 (1971)). The methylene carbons appear at δ 28.27 and 29.26 in naphtho[a]cyclobutene (we are indebted to Professor Thummel for sending us various spectra for comparison) and at § 31.5 in pyracene (B. M. Trost and W. B. Herdle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **98**, 4080 (1976)). Although these data tend to favor 6 over 7, it is difficult to predict how the combined presence of four- and five-membered rings in 7 will affect the ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts.
- (13) Other spectral data of the product follow: IR (KBr) 2900-2920 (s), 2820 (s), 1600 (m), 1478 (w), 1440 (w), 1416 (m), 1365 (s), 1272 (m), 1212 (m), 1192 (m), 1154 (w), 815 (w), 760 (w), 713 cm⁻¹ (w); UV (5:2 methylene chloride-acetonitrile) λ_{max} 360 nm (ϵ 310), 335 (620), 309 (4790), 298 (6040), 286 (4630), 270 (sh), 240 (47 500); a methylene chloride solution irradiated at 298 nm showed fluorescence with a maximum at 355-360 nm. The ultraviolet spectrum shows some similarity to that of pyracene (A. G. Anderson, Jr., and R. H. Wade, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 2274 (1952)).
- (14) R = 4.6%, $R_w = 3.5\%$, estimated standard deviation 0.004–0.008 Å and
- (14) N = 4.6 % N_w = 5.3 %, estimated stands deviated to 0.004 = mirror was not produced during the pyrolysis of 8, suggesting that it may be a polymer of 3, reminiscent of the films produced from p-xylylene vapors (L. A. Errede and M. Szwarc, *Q. Rev., Chem. Soc.*, **12**, 301 (1959)). (16) H. Hart and A. Oku, *J. Org. Chem.*, **37**, 4269 (1972).

Harold Hart,* Mark Jeffares Avraham Teuerstein, Donald L. Ward

Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 48824 Received July 25, 1978

A Radical-Chain Mechanism for Some Sulfur Dioxide "Insertion" Reactions in Organocobaloximes

Sir

Sulfur dioxide insertion is one of the more common reactions of σ -bonded organometallic complexes;¹ it occurs with many organic derivatives of both main group and transition elements (eq 1). However, despite the appreciable body of careful work on the kinetics, products, and stereochemistry of these reactions, there is no comprehensive picture of the mechanisms which may operate.

$$RML_n + SO_2 \rightarrow RS(O)_2ML_n \text{ and/or } RS(O)OML_n$$
 (1)

We here describe some simple experiments which demonstrate that, in the case of certain organocobaloximes,² organorhodoximes, and possibly in the case of some organoiron complexes under appropriate conditions, the reaction is not a true insertion into the carbon-metal bond, but is an intermolecular process in which the organic and metal fragments of the "insertion" product do not originate from the same molecule of organometallic substrate.

Thus, 4-bromobenzylbis(dimethylglyoximato)pyridinecobalt(III) (1) and benzylbis(dimethylglyoximato)pyridinerhodium(III) (2) separately undergo "insertion" in liquid SO₂ in sealed tubes at ambient temperature within 2 h to give the corresponding products 3 and 4, respectively² (eq 2, 3). Under identical conditions, an equimolar mixture of 1 and 2 reacts to give four products, 3, 4, 5, and 6³ (eq 4). In the early stages product 4 is formed faster than 5 and 6, and 3 is formed slowest of all. No rearrangement of 1 and 2 into 7 and 8 takes place during the reaction,⁴ and separate experiments in which reaction 3 is carried out in the presence of the insertion product 3 show that no conversion of 3 and 4 into 5 and 6 occurs.⁵

$$BrC_{6}H_{4}CH_{2}Co(dmgH)_{2}py + SO_{2}$$

$$1 \rightarrow BrC_{6}H_{4}CH_{2}SO_{2}Co(dmgH)_{2}py \quad (2)$$

$$3$$

 $PhCH_2Rh(dmgH)_2py + SO_2 \rightarrow PhCH_2SO_2Rh(dmgH)_2py$ (3)

$$1 + 2 + SO_2 \rightarrow 3 + 4 + PhCH_2SO_2Co(dmgH)_2py$$

$$5$$

$$+ BrC_6H_5CH_2SO_2Rh(dmgH)_2py \quad (4)$$

$$6$$

$$PhCH_2Co(dmgH)_2py \quad BrC_6H_4CH_2Rh(dmgH)_2py$$

$$7 \qquad 8$$

$$PhCH_2Fe(CO)_2(\eta C_5H_5)$$

9

Following our several recent observations of chain reactions involving homolytic displacements at carbon centers,⁶ we propose that these apparent sulfur dioxide insertion reactions involve the radical-chain mechanism outlined below.

Initiation:7

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{M} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{\cdot} + \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \tag{5}$$

Propagation:

Termination:

$$M \cdot + SO_2 \to MSO_2 \cdot \tag{6}$$

$$MSO_2 \cdot + RM \to MSO_2R + M \cdot \tag{7}$$

and/or

$$\mathbf{R} \cdot + \mathbf{SO}_2 \to \mathbf{RSO}_2 \cdot \tag{8}$$

$$RSO_{2} + RM \rightarrow RSO_{2}M + R.$$
 (9)

$$MSO_2 + M \rightarrow MSO_2M$$

$$RSO_2 + M \rightarrow RSO_2M \tag{11}$$

(10)

The radical nature of the reaction is apparent from observations that (i) the reactions of SO₂ with alkylocobaloximes at low temperatures, e.g., with $PhCH_2CH_2Co(dmgH)_2py$, are markedly photocatalyzed⁸ and (ii) the rates of thermal reaction of SO₂ with alkylcobaloximes are variable and subject to induction periods and to catalysis by admixture with the more reactive benzyl- or allylcobaloximes or with cobaloxime(11). While we cannot rule out some reaction through the propagation steps 8 and 9, we prefer the mechanism involving predominantly reactions 5, 6, 7, and 10 for the following reasons

(a) α -Toluenesulfonyl radicals, generated by chlorine atom abstraction from the corresponding α -toluenesulfonyl chloride by cobaloxime(11) (eq 12), react with allylcobaloximes to give high yields of the benzyl(allyl)sulfone (10, eq 13), but no "insertion" product 5 can be detected.9 Moreover, only small yields of 5 are formed in the reaction of α -toluenesulfonyl chloride with 7 despite significant formation of chlorocobaloxime(111) via eq 12.

© 1978 American Chemical Society

0002-7863/78/1500-8013\$01.00/0

Clearly, reaction 9, which is a key step in the other possible chain mechanism (eq 8 and 9), is not a favorable process in the case of six-coordinate organocobaloximes; indeed, any organsulfonyl radicals which are formed during the reaction with sulfur dioxide may, in appropriate cases, undergo reaction with the organocobaloxime via a reaction analogous to eq 13 which regenerates cobaloxime(II) and thereby initiates reaction 6 of the preferred chain mechanism. It is not surprising therefore that some ($\leq 5\%$) of the specific sulfone **12**¹⁰ accompanies the insertion product in the reaction of dimethylallylcobaloxime (**11**) with SO₂ (eq 14).

$$Me_{2}C = CHCH_{2} \cdot \xrightarrow{SO_{2}} Me_{2}C = CHCH_{2}SO_{2} \cdot \underbrace{Me_{2}C = CHCH_{2}Co(dmgH)_{2}py}_{11} \xrightarrow{11} Me_{2}C = CHCH_{2}SO_{2}CMe_{2}CH = CH_{2} \quad (14)$$

$$12$$

(b) There is good precedent for reaction 6 from the direct reactions of SO₂ with cobalt(11) complexes such as Co(CN)₅³⁻ and Co(dmgH)₂py. The former gives the well-characterized complex¹¹ {[(NC)₅Co]₂SO₂]⁶⁻ supposedly via reactions 6 and 10, though the corresponding complex (py(dmgH)₂Co)₂SO₂ is less well characterized.¹² It is significant that the latter complex is also formed as a byproduct ($\leq 10\%$) in the reaction of SO₂ with 1 and with 7.¹³

The above mechanism is by no means universal in SO₂ insertion reactions, but may also apply to some organoiron complexes under extreme conditions. For example, though the insertion product **5** is also obtained in 5–15% yield¹⁴ from the reaction of SO₂ with mixtures of either **9** with **1**, or **9** with CH₃Co(dmgH)₂py,¹⁵ only the two normal insertion products **14** and **15** were formed in the reaction of SO₂ with a mixture of **9** and **13**¹⁶ (eq 15).

$$9 + 4 - FC_6H_4CH_2M_0(CO)_3(\eta - C_5H_5)$$

$$13$$

$$\xrightarrow{SO_2} PhCH_2SO_2Fe(CO)_2(\eta - C_5H_5)$$

$$14$$

$$+ 4 - FC_6H_4CH_2SO_2M_0(CO)_3(\eta - C_5H_5)$$

$$15$$

$$15$$

The implications of the above results are twofold, First, though the free-radical-chain mechanism is dominant in the case of some organocobaloximes and organorhodoximes, it may intrude in other systems only where there is sufficient initiation and where the concentrations are sufficiently high and the stability of the displaced metal such that the propagation step 7 is favored. Second, the sulfur dioxide insertion reactions of organocobaloximes show remarkable similarities of initiation, rates, and regiospecificity to the oxygen insertion reactions of the same complexes.¹⁸ We have endeavored to investigate the intermolecular character of the oxygen insertion in the same manner as above, but have been thwarted by the ready exchange of organic groups between substrates¹⁹ prior to the reaction with oxygen and catalyzed by traces of cobaloxime(11) in solution. However, the analogies are so close for us to propose that some oxygen insertion reactions of the cobaloximes also involve radical-chain reactions, with the same initiation step as for sulfur dioxide insertion, and the corresponding propagation steps of eq 16 and 17.

$$py(dmgH)_2Co + O_2 \rightarrow py(dmgH)_2CoOO$$
(16)

 $py(dmgH)_2CoOO + RCo(dmgH)_2py$

$$\rightarrow py(dmgH)_2CoOOR + \cdot Co(dmgH)_2py \quad (17)$$

Acknowledgments. We thank Dr. Alain Gaudemer for many

interesting discussions which have played a substantial part in formulating the experimental work and the conclusions described, the Science Research Council for financial support (to A.E.C.), and NATO for a travel award for discussions with Dr. J. H. Espenson (to M.D.J.).

References and Notes

- A. Wojcicki, Acc. Chem. Res., 4, 344 (1971); Adv. Organomet. Chem., 12, 31 (1974). C. W. Fong and W. Kitching, J. Organomet. Chem., 22, 107, (1970).
- (2) M. D. Johnson and G. J. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc. A, 2153 (1970); C. J. Cooksey, D. Dodd, C. Gatford, M. D. Johnson, G. J. Lewis, and D. M. Titchmarsh, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 655 (1972), describe the insertion reactions of organocobaloximes, but insertion into organorhodoximes has not been described.
- (3) The benzylrhodoxime 2 is slightly more reactive and hence the appearance of 5 and 6 in preference to 3 indicates that the rhodoxime(II) species is more readily displaced by MSO_2 , when $M = Co(dmgH)_2py$ or $Rh(dmgH)_2py$. A necessary consequence is that the yields of 5 and 6 6 should be the same, which is what is observed.
- (4) The several reagents and insertion products were detected in the reaction mixtures by ¹H NMR and confirmed by isolation after TLC. Only pairs of compounds, e.g., **3** and **5**, could be obtained, but these could be prepared separately by other methods and positively identified in and from the reaction mixtures.
- (5) Reaction 2 was allowed to proceed to completion and mixed with the reagents of reaction 3. After 2 h, reaction 3 was complete and only two insertion products, 3 and 4, were present.
 (6) (a) T. Funabiki, B. D. Gupta, and M. D. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98,
- (6) (a) T. Funabiki, B. D. Gupta, and M. D. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 6697 (1976); (b) J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 653 (1977); (c) K. N. V. Duong, A. Gaudemer, and M. D. Johnson, unpublished work; (d) C. J. Cooksey, D. Dodd, M. D. Johnson, and B. L. Lockman, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., in press; (e) A. Bury, C. J. Cooksey, T. Funabiki, B. D. Gupta, and M. D. Johnson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, in press; (f) A. E. Crease, B. D. Gupta, and M. D. Johnson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., in press.
- (7) Since most organocobaloximes contain traces of cobaloxime(II) species, particularly in solution in the light, there is usually no need for an induced initiation step.
- (8) Tungsten lamps (2 \times 150–500 W at 10 cm) using water-cooled all-glass apparatus.
- (9) A. E. Crease and M. D. Johnson, unpublished observations.
- (10) Some O-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)dimethylglyoxime (≤10%) is also formed.
- (11) A. A. Vlcek and F. Basolo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 5, 156 (1966).
 (12) M. D. Johnson and G. J. Lewis, unpublished observations.
- (12) M. D. ownson and o. J. Lewis dipublished observations.
 (13) During many of the reactions of organocobaloximes with sulfur dioxide, the ¹H NMR spectra quickly become broad owing to the presence of paramagnetic cobaloxime(II) species, but sharpen again toward the end of each reaction. The lack of reaction of methyl(pyridine)cobaloxime with pure dry sulfur dioxide reported earlier² may have been a result of the absence of radical initiation rather than, as proposed earlier, the absence of water.
- (14) Implying attack of py(dmgH)₂CoSO₂[,] radicals on the organoiron complex, but not necessarily attack of (η-C₅H₅)(CO)₂FeSO₂[,] radicals on the organocobaloxime.
- (15) These substrates do not insert at the same rates and hence the extent of formation of crossed products would not be expected to be large.
- (16) These substrates react with SO₂ at almost identical rates and hence would be expected to give near-statistical yields of crossed and uncrossed products in an intermolecular radical mechanism. Jacobsen and Wojcicki¹⁷ have demonstrated a similar lack of crossed products in the reactions of SO₂ with mixtures of 9 and other organomolybdenum complexes.
- 17) S. E. Jacobsen and A. Wojcicki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 6962 (1973).
- (18) C. Bied-Charreton and A. Gaudemer, J. Organomet. Chem., 124, 299 (1977), and references quoted therein.
- (19) D. Dodd, M. D. Johnson, and B. L. Lockman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 3664 (1977).

Alan E. Crease, Michael D. Johnson*

Department of Chemistry, University College London WC1H, OAJ, England Received March 14, 1978

Inhibition of 5-Phosphomevalonate Kinase by an Isosteric Analogue of 5-Phosphomevalonate

Sir:

Analogues of the prenyl diphosphate intermediates of sterol biosynthesis proved to be useful probes for testing some enzymic functions¹ and specificities² and may point to the design of new substances inhibiting cholesterol biosynthesis.³ The usefulness of the diphosphate analogues of, e.g., geranyl diphosphate, such as citronellyl, tetrahydrogeranyl, or octyl di-

© 1978 American Chemical Society